## Pedestrian And Vehicle Classification Guided by Dr. Harish Karnick

Group no. 34 Ishita Ankit(13316) Kanupriya Agarwal(13338) Shivam Malhotra(13660) Gaurav Gautam(11917270)

# **O** Background subtraction

## Algorithms

- Average pixel intensity as background
- Mode pixel intensity
- Mixture of Gaussians

## Background Images from Various Methods

Average







#### MoG (Mixture of Gaussians)

• Then probability of observing the current pixel is given by the following formula:

$$\hat{p}(\vec{x}|\mathcal{X}_T, BG + FG) = \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\pi}_m \mathcal{N}(\vec{x}; \widehat{\vec{\mu}}_m, \widehat{\sigma}_m^2 I)$$

K is the number of distributions,  $\omega$  is a weight associated to the ith Gaussian at time t

• Once the parameters initialization is made, the updates are done using the following equations:

$$\hat{\pi}_m \leftarrow \hat{\pi}_m + \alpha (o_m^{(t)} - \hat{\pi}_m) \hat{\vec{\mu}}_m \leftarrow \hat{\vec{\mu}}_m + o_m^{(t)} (\alpha/\hat{\pi}_m) \vec{\delta}_m \hat{\sigma}_m^2 \leftarrow \hat{\sigma}_m^2 + o_m^{(t)} (\alpha/\hat{\pi}_m) (\vec{\delta}_m^T \vec{\delta}_m - \hat{\sigma}_m^2)$$

• First B Gaussian distribution which exceeds the threshold T is retained for a background distribution. The other distributions are considered to represent a foreground distribution.

$$B = \arg\min_{b} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{b} \hat{\pi}_m > (1 - c_f) \right)$$

\*Improved Adaptive Gaussian Mixture Model for Background Subtraction (Zoran Zivkovic)

Video: MoG and Average

#### COMPARISON

#### AVERAGE

A static method (since average is taken only once), faster than MOG.

The limitation of being static can be somewhat alleviated by updating the average after fixed intervals.

#### MODE

Intuitively, mode is a better measure for picking background pixels than taking an average intensity, but takes more time and memory.

#### MOG

Performs better than average and mode but slower.

We did not have a concrete performance measure for background subtraction. Tuning parameters creates little difference visually.

# Object Detection

## Method

- Applied a combination of morphological effects like erosion and dilation on the background subtracted video
- Obtained contours from the refined foreground obtained from above
- Ignored contours with area less than a threshold
- Constructed bounding boxes using the contours

#### Procedure

#### Blurred



#### Morphed



#### Contours



#### Comments

- Used Opencv methods to apply morphological transformations
- Implemented a weak form of object tracking for videos, can be improved
- Ordering and parameters of morphological transformations can be finer tuned
- Overlapping objects and shadows not handled

Video: Box

# 2 Dataset

#### Dataset

- Obtained images from the annotated videos
- Cleaned the image set using a custom-written code
- Handpicked images to make a non-repetitive and good quality dataset
- Multiplied the size of dataset by applying transformations on image
- Our dataset consists of 302 persons and 268 non-persons resized to the average size of a person (60 x 166)

# **3** Classification

## Image Representation

- Grayscale pixel values
- Histogram
- Hierarchical Histogram
- HoG (Histogram of Oriented Gradients)
- SIFT 1 (Scale-Invariant Feature Transform)
- SIFT 2

## **Grayscale Values Feature**

Classifier	Accuracy	
SVM	80.86 %	
Random Forest	78.26 % (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200)	
Adaboost	79.86 % (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120)	

- All images are resized to average size of a person
- Grayscale values of each pixel in an image form the feature vector
- 60 x 166 dimensional vector

#### K-means Clustering and k-NN

Classifier	Accuracy
k-NN	78.35 % (k = 3, n_means = 8)

- K-means clustering done for both classes (K=8 for each class)
- Cluster centres used to classify images by using k-Nearest Neighbours Algorithm and majority vote

## Class Representatives obtained from k-means Clustering



#### Class 1: Person



Class 2: Non-Person

## Histogram Feature

Classifier	Accuracy	
SVM	76.11 %	
Random Forest	75.98 % (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200)	
Adaboost	79.04 % (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120)	

- Histogram of grayscale intensity values created with 128 bins
- 128 dimensional vector

#### **Hierarchical Histogram**

- Multi-level histograms are created for images and appended together
- For n levels (level 0 to n-1) and b bins, feature vector size = b\*{(4)<sup>n</sup>-1}/3



#### \*Image taken from Grauman & Darrell (2005)

## Hierarchical Histogram

Classifier	Accuracy			
SVM	78.35 % (num_bins = 128, num_level =3)			
Random Forest	79.85 % (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200) (num_bins = 128, num_level =3)			
Adaboost	77.61 % (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120) (num_bins = 128, num_level =3)	level 0	level 2	

#### \*Image taken from Grauman & Darrell (2005)

#### **HOG Features**

 HoG feature descriptors are extracted from each image with gradient orientations=8, pixels per cell=(16,16) and cells\_per\_block=(1, 1)



\* images from slides by Deva Ramanan and Kristen Grauman

#### HoG Features

Classifier	Accuracy	
SVM	95.37 %	· · · · · ·
Random Forest	89.60 % (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200)	
Adaboost	91.93 % (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120)	3

 $m{*}$  images from slides by Deva Ramanan and Kristen Grauman



#### **SIFT Interest Points**



## SIFT 1: Description of Feature

Classifier	Accuracy
SVM	67.76 %
Random Forest	71.07 % (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200)
Adaboost	73.55 % (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120)

- Selects 20 SIFT interest points from each image
- Appends all the SIFT features to give one feature per image
- 128 x 20 dimensional descriptor vector
- A classifier is run on these vectors
- For a test image, 128 x 20 vector

## Comparing Results from different Image Representations

	Gray Image	Histogram	Hierarchical Histogram	HOG	SIFT 1
Clustering and k-NN	78.35 %	-	-	-	-
SVM	80.86 %	76.11 %	78.35 %	95.37 %	67.76 %
Random Forests	78.26 %	75.98 %	79.85 %	89.60 %	71.07 %
Adaboost	79.86 %	79.04 %	77.61 %	91.93 %	73.55 %

# Attempts that didn't Work

## SIFT 2: Description of Feature

Classifier	<b>Cross Validation Accuracy</b>
SVM	40.02 %
Random Forest	% (max_depth = 16, n_estimators =200)
Adaboost	% (max_depth = 4, n_estimators =120)

- Selects a maximum of 10 SIFT features from every image
- Every SIFT feature is given the label of corresponding image
- A classifier is run on these vectors
- For a test image, all SIFT features are classified and class label is given by majority vote

#### Haar Classifier



3. Center-surround features





# Thank You